

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Welsh
Given Name	Ruth
Person ID	1286976
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Welsh
Given Name	Ruth
Person ID	1286976
Title	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The plans do not include sufficient road and transport infrastructure to the site, a nearby road (A57) has already been reported above the limit for air pollution. This can only be made worse and is unacceptable.</p> <p>Also, the local high school has already grown expediently in the last four years. The 2017 intake had six form groups per year group, this years (2021) had eight. It cannot be reasonable to expect a suburban school to grow even more.</p> <p>I would also like to point out, the two Hyde Werneth Councillors who are not part of the controlling group have not been included with the updates and meetings regarding GGGV, whereas I understand all other Hyde and Longdendale Councillors have. Therefore the detail has not been offered to us and we have been unable to pass this on to the people we are elected to represent.</p>
Family Name	Welsh
Given Name	Ruth
Person ID	1286976
Title	JPA 32: South of Hyde
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>There are many reasons why this plan cannot be justified; building on the land will close the gap between the town of Hyde and town of Stockport; current roads are unable to handle the traffic which use them, nevermind adding 100s and 100s of extra cars; there is no capacity in Gee Cross GPs or schools to take on further residents.</p> <p>I was of the understanding that this whole plan was to stop adding pockets of housing and was to include infrastructure. This adds no infrastructure and it is impossible to do so.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Do not build housing on the south of Hyde.</p>